System size and potential range

Size of the simulated sample depends on:

- correlation length
- correlation time
- range of the potential

liquid: hundreds of molecules biomolecules: 10^4 – 10^6 nanostructures, crystals (defects): billions

problem: correlation times of many complex phenomena are long

Pair potential treatment:

number of operations needed for 1 MD step or 1 attempted move of every particle:

loop over all pairs (nearest-image): $\sim N^2$ short-range potential, optimum algorithm: $\sim N^1$

Short-range forces

Potential cutoff

$$u_{\text{simul}}(r) = \begin{cases} u(r) & \text{for } r \leq r_{\text{C}}, \\ 0 & \text{for } r > r_{\text{C}}, \end{cases}$$

Usually $r_{\rm C} < L/2$ (L = box size)

MD: continuous forces, or at least cut-andshift potential:

$$u_{\text{simul}}(r) = \begin{cases} u(r) - u(r_{\text{C}}) & \text{pro } r \leq r_{\text{C}}, \\ 0 & \text{pro } r > r_{\text{C}}, \end{cases}$$

⇒ discontinuity (jump) in forces.
Better: smooth (depends on the integrator order) – next slide



2/7

*s*09/2

Smooth cutoff



r/nm

r/nm

[simul/plotspcelj.sh]

3,

*s*09/2

Т

Cutoff corrections



Correction of energy of a selected atom (assuming: g(r) = 1 for $r > r_c$):

$$\Delta U = \int_{r_c}^{\infty} u(r)\rho \,4\pi r^2 dr \quad \text{for the whole box} : N\Delta U/2$$

Dispersion forces: $u(r) \propto r^{-6}$, $\Delta U \propto r_c^{-3}$; for $r_c = L/2$ we get error $\propto 1/N$

Typical values $r_{\rm c}$: 2.5 to 4 LJ σ , i.e., 8 to 15 Å

Coulomb problem: dipole–dipole: r^{-3} , charge–charge: $r^{-1} - \Delta U$ diverges! **Methods**:

- Cut-and-shift, must be done smoothly cheap, inaccurate, time ~ N ions: OK for $r_c \gg$ Debye screening length, dipoles: bad correlations
- Ewald summation golden standard standard Ewald: computer time $\propto N^{3/2}$ particle-mesh (FFT): computer time $\propto N \log N$
- tree-code (Greengard–Rokhlin)

For dipolar systems only:

reaction field: dielectric response beyond cutoff, computer time $\propto N$

Ewald summation I

Periodic boundary conditions surrounded "at infinity" by a dielectric or metal ($\varepsilon' = \infty$, tin-foil)

sum of **all** periodic images:

$$U = \sum_{\vec{n}}' \sum_{1 \le j \le l \le N} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q_j q_l}{|\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_l + \vec{n}L|}$$



5/7

s09/2

Summation trick:

point charges screened by Gaussian charge distribution of opposite sign

the screened charge interaction is short-ranged

Gaussians are summed in the k-space

Ewald summation II



Oops! The infinite sum does not converge absolutely

$$U = \lim_{s \to 0} \sum_{\vec{n}}' \exp(-s\vec{n}^2) \sum_{1 \le j \le l \le N} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q_j q_l}{|\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_l + \vec{n}L|}$$

Tricks used in the derivation:

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \exp(-t^2 r^2) dt = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\alpha \exp(-t^2 r^2) dt + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_\alpha^\infty \exp(-t^2 r^2) dt$$

1st term: 3× the Poisson summation formula

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+nL) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k/L) e^{2\pi i k x/L}$$

where

$$\hat{f}(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i k x/L} dx$$

2nd term leads to the function

$$\operatorname{Erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} \exp(-t^2) dt$$

Ewald summation III



$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi\varepsilon_0 U &= \sum_{\vec{n}}' \sum_{1 \le j \le l \le N} \frac{q_j q_l \operatorname{Erfc}(\alpha |\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_l + \vec{n}L|)}{|\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_l + nL|} \\ &+ \sum_{\vec{k}, \vec{k} \ne \vec{0}} \frac{\exp(-\pi^2 k^2 / \alpha^2 L^2)}{2L\pi k^2} |Q(\vec{k})|^2 + \frac{2\pi}{2\varepsilon_r' + 1} \frac{\vec{M}^2}{L^3} - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{j=1}^N q_j^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$Q(\vec{k}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} q_j \exp(2\pi i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_j / L)$$

$$\vec{M} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \vec{r}_j q_j \quad \text{(watch point charges!)}$$

$$\text{Erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{X}^{\infty} \exp(-t^2) dt$$

with optimized parameters $\sim N^{3/2}$ with *particle mesh* for the *k*-space part: $\sim N \log N$